Discussion of

Bank Size and Household Financial Sentiment: Surprising Evidence from the University of Michigan Surveys of Consumers

A.N. Berger, F. Irresberger and R. Roman

Glenn Schepens (ECB)

March 29, 2019

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation do not represent those of the ECB.

Glenn Schepens

Discussion of Berger et al

Main takeaway

- Large banks boost households' financial sentiment
- Why?
 - Economies of scale leading to better borrowing conditions for households

and

(Perception of) superior safety of large banks

lead to improvements in financial sentiment

Why is this paper important

- Berger et al.:
 - Limited knowledge about financial sentiment determinants
 - Consumer spending major component of GDP
 - Message for regulators: bank size has positive impact on households financial sentiment

Why is this paper important

- Berger et al.:
 - Limited knowledge about financial sentiment determinants
 - Consumer spending major component of GDP
 - Message for regulators: bank size has positive impact on households financial sentiment
- But also:
 - Inflation expectations!
 - Of critical importance for central banks, but limited knowledge on consumers expectations
 - ★ Financial sentiment likely related to inflation expectations (del Giovane et al., 2009; del Giovane, 2009; Ehrmann et al., 2017)
 - ★ Interesting, additional angle to motivate the paper

This discussion

- I Hypotheses and underlying mechanisms at work
- On Threats to identification potential endogeneity issues

- Small banks

 - ② *Relationship channel*: Soft info → access to credit \uparrow → financial sentiment \uparrow

- Small banks

 - ② Relationship channel: Soft info → access to credit \uparrow → financial sentiment \uparrow
- Large banks
 - **③** *Economies of scale channel*: Scale economies → better rates → financial sentiment \uparrow

- Small banks
 - Trust channel: Consumers trust small banks more → financial sentiment ↑
 - ② Relationship channel: Soft info → access to credit \uparrow → financial sentiment \uparrow

Large banks

- Scale channel: Scale economies → better rates → financial sentiment ↑
- Safety channel: Large banks are perceived as being more safe \rightarrow financial sentiment \uparrow
- 1 and 4: Confidence in banks

• Small banks

- **Trust channel**: Consumers trust small banks more \rightarrow financial sentiment \uparrow
- Large banks
 - $\textcircled{\ } \textbf{Scale channel: Scale economies} \rightarrow \textbf{better rates} \rightarrow \textbf{financial sentiment} \uparrow$
 - Safety channel: Large banks are perceived as being more safe → financial sentiment ↑
- 1 and 4: Confidence in banks
- 2 and 3: Alleviating financial constraints

• Small banks

Large banks

- Scale channel: Scale economies → better rates → financial sentiment ↑
- Safety channel: Large banks are perceived as being more safe → financial sentiment ↑
- 1 and 4: Confidence in banks
- 2 and 3: Alleviating financial constraints
- No 1-to-1 match between these mechanism and small vs. large banks.

- Does confidence in banks improve household financial sentiment?
- Often positvely correlated, good start



- Does confidence in banks improve household financial sentiment?
- Often positvely correlated, good start

- Suggestions
 - Make use of plausible variation in confidence between small and large bank over time or in the cross-section
 - * Trust in large banks likely severely hit during crisis: should show in relation between large banks and financial sentiment indicator
 - ★ Exploit small bank failures (FDIC failed bank list: 555 since Oct. 1, 2000). Safety argument for large banks should become more important after failure of small bank in county.

- Does confidence in banks improve household financial sentiment?
- Often positvely correlated, good start

- Suggestions
 - Make use of plausible variation in confidence between small and large bank over time or in the cross-section
 - ★ Trust in large banks likely severely hit during crisis: should show in relation between large banks and financial sentiment indicator
 - ★ Exploit small bank failures (FDIC failed bank list: 555 since Oct. 1, 2000). Safety argument for large banks should become more important after failure of small bank in county.
 - Be more precise through which survey question you expect confidence in banks to impact financial sentiment

 "We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living there) are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago?

- "Now looking ahead do you think that <u>a year from now you (and your family living there)</u> will be <u>better off</u> financially, or <u>worse off</u> or just about the same as now?" (*PEXP*)
- "Now turning to business conditions in the country as a whole do you think that during the <u>next twelve months</u> we'll have good times financially, or <u>bad</u> times) or what?" (BUS12)
- 4) "Looking ahead, which would you say is more likely that in the country as a whole we'll have continuous good times during the <u>next five years</u> or so, or that we will have periods of widespread <u>un</u>employment or depression, or what?" (BUS5)
- 5) "About the big things people buy for their homes such as furniture, a refrigerator, stove, television, and things like that. Generally speaking, do you think now is a good or <u>bad</u> time for people to buy major household items?" (DUR)

Small banks

- Large banks
 - Scale channel: Scale economies → better rates → financial sentiment ↑
 - Safety channel: Large banks are perceived as being more safe → financial sentiment ↑
- 1 and 4: Confidence in banks
- 2 and 3: Financial constraints

Hypotheses and channels: Financial constraints

• Should work through question 5 (Durable goods)?

5) "About the big things people buy for their homes — such as furniture, a refrigerator, stove, television, and things like that. Generally speaking, do you think now is a good or bad time for people to buy major household items?" (DUR)

FunerA: ICS Index Decomposition – OLS and Ordered Logit Models								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
			OLS					
		with County Fixed Effects						
Dependent Variable:	PAGO	PEXP	BUS12	BUS5	DUR			
Independent Variables								
			-	-				
Small Bank Share	-0.079	-0.127*	0.643***	1.026***	-0.018			
	(-	(-			(-			
	0.885)	1.892)	(-2.947)	(-5.033)	0.198)			

Panel A: ICS Index Decomposition — OLS and Ordered Logit Models

Hypotheses and channels: Financial constraints

• Should work through question 5 (Durable goods)?

5) "About the big things people buy for their homes — such as furniture, a refrigerator, stove, television, and things like that. Generally speaking, do you think now is a good or bad time for people to buy major household items?" (DUR)

ore 10.1 17 (cre.1.1

Panel A: ICS Index Decomposition — OLS and Ordered Logit Models								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
	OLS with County Fixed Effects							
	PAGO	PEXP	BÚS12	BUS5	DUR			
Independent Variables				_				
Small Bank Share	-0.079 (-	-0.127* (-	0.643***	1.026***	<mark>-0.018</mark> (-			
	0.885)	1.892)	(-2.947)	(-5.033)	0.198)			

• Maybe bank presence matters for financial constraints and not small vs. large?

	C 1	
Glenn	Schepens	

and A ICC In Jan Deservice states

• Local growth could attract large banks and simultaneously impact consumer sentiment

- Local growth could attract large banks and simultaneously impact consumer sentiment
 - State-time fixed effects could help a lot
 - Currently 1 column tucked away in a robustness table; should be part of baseline specification

- Local growth could attract large banks and simultaneously impact consumer sentiment
 - State-time fixed effects could help a lot
 - Currently 1 column tucked away in a robustness table; should be part of baseline specification
- IV specification: number of churches per capita as instrument
 - ► Main argument: More churches (congregations) → Stronger community ties and social capital → less pressure to sell small bank to big competitor (higher share of small banks)

- Local growth could attract large banks and simultaneously impact consumer sentiment
 - State-time fixed effects could help a lot
 - Currently 1 column tucked away in a robustness table; should be part of baseline specification
- IV specification: number of churches per capita as instrument
 - ► Main argument: More churches (congregations) → Stronger community ties and social capital → less pressure to sell small bank to big competitor (higher share of small banks)
 - Two potential problems for exclusion restriction:
 - Higher social capital could lead to higher (local) economic growth, in turn impacting household sentiment
 - ★ Weberian view: religion affects economy by fostering traits such as work ethic, trust, etc. (Weber, 1930)

- Local growth could attract large banks and simultaneously impact consumer sentiment
 - State-time fixed effects could help a lot
 - Currently 1 column tucked away in a robustness table; should be part of baseline specification
- IV specification: number of churches per capita as instrument
 - ► Main argument: More churches (congregations) → Stronger community ties and social capital → less pressure to sell small bank to big competitor (higher share of small banks)
 - Two potential problems for exclusion restriction:
 - ★ Higher social capital could lead to higher (local) economic growth, in turn impacting household sentiment
 - ★ Weberian view: religion affects economy by fostering traits such as work ethic, trust, etc. (Weber, 1930)
 - What matters for social capital argument is number of members of a congregation, not necessarily number of congregations

Glenn Schepens

Discussion of Berger et al

Final thoughts

- Very interesting paper on an important topic, definitely worth reading
- Looking forward to next version

Discussion of

Bank Size and Household Financial Sentiment: Surprising Evidence from the University of Michigan Surveys of Consumers

A.N. Berger, F. Irresberger and R. Roman

Glenn Schepens (ECB)

March 29, 2019

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation do not represent those of the ECB.

Glenn Schepens

Discussion of Berger et al