#### The innovation premium to low skill jobs

Philippe Aghion (College de France and LSE) Antonin Bergeaud (Banque de France) Richard Blundell (UCL and IFS) Rachel Griffith (IFS and U of Manchester)

14<sup>th</sup> Joint ECB/CEPR Labour Market Workshop 6-7 December 2018, ECB, Frankfurt am Main

## Motivation

- This paper results from an unexpected fact we found in the data: it is not only workers in high skilled occupations that benefit from higher wage premia from working in more innovative firms.
- In fact, the average worker in low-skilled occupation receives a significant wage premia from working in a more innovative firms.

글 이 이 글 이 크네크

# Motivation



Average wage per hours (log) by age in the UK (2004-2015). Source: ASHE and BERD.

# Our contribution

- We document that innovation is one (important) driver of between-firm differences in wages
  - using matched employer-employee data for the UK we show that workers in R&D firms get a higher wage (conditional on observables).

김 글 제 김 제 글 제 글 님

# Our contribution

- We document that innovation is one (important) driver of between-firm differences in wages
  - using matched employer-employee data for the UK we show that workers in R&D firms get a higher wage (conditional on observables).
- We show that this premium is particularly high for *some* workers in low-skilled occupations.

글 이 이 글 이 크네크

# Our contribution

- We document that innovation is one (important) driver of between-firm differences in wages
  - using matched employer-employee data for the UK we show that workers in R&D firms get a higher wage (conditional on observables).
- We show that this premium is particularly high for *some* workers in low-skilled occupations.
- We develop a model where innovative firms exhibit a higher degree of complementarity between workers in high-skilled occupations and *some* workers in low-skilled occupations.
  - replacing the latter is more risky for the firm because this complementarity arises from soft skills that are important for workers but hard to observe.
  - we then show additional empirical support for the model.

# Skilled Bias Technical Change

- Our findings are consistent with skill-biased technical change.item
- In our framework, innovation increase the relative earnings of high-skilled workers in the overall economy. But high skilled workers have observable qualifications more easily verifiable. → a firm can replace a high-skilled workers with little risk.
- But low-skilled workers draw their value from *soft-skilled* that are hard to observe ex-ante. → The cost to the firm in finding a replacement can be high and workers with such quality can command a higher wage.
- Especially when the complementarity between these and high skilled workers is high.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ 三回 ののの

# Plan

#### Motivation

#### Innovation and wage

Innovation and wage by skill groups

#### 4 Model

5 Confronting the model to the data

#### Conclusion

(B)

### Data

- Data for the UK 2004 2015
- Wages
  - Annual Survey of Hours and Earning (ASHE)
  - ▶ 1% sample of UK based workers (based on National Insurance number)
  - panel data we observe the same individual over a long time
  - information on labour income including bonuses
  - skill level from occupation code
- Research and Development (R&D) expenditure
  - Business Enterprise Research and Development (BERD)
  - census of firms with 400+ employees, below that random stratified sample
- Results today for private firms with 400+ employees
  - sample includes around 186,000 employees, working in a little more than 7,300 firms
  - accounts for around 70% of R&D
  - we show robustness to other samples

# ASHE and wages

- ASHE includes detailed information on labour income and hours worked, we use hourly wages including bonuses and incentive pay
- ASHE also records gender, age, tenure in firm, firm and occupation
- we do not have individual level data on education, skills, etc.; we use a classification of occupations based on the National Qualification Framework (NQF); used to determine UK immigration rules

Low skill, no formal qualifications necessary					
Skill cat 1	process plant operative, basic clerical, cleaning, security				
Skill cat 2	drivers, specialist plant operative or technician, sales				
Intermedia	te skill, typically requires A-level or some qualification				
Skill cat 3	trades, specialist clerical, associate professionals				
Skill cat 4	medical or IT technicians, some managerial occupations				
High skills,	typically required first or higher degree				
Skill cat 5	most managerial and executive occupations, engineers				
Skill cat 6	scientists, R&D manager, other professions				

# Pay by skill categories

Occupation	Hourly pay	% incentive pay	% overtime	Annual earnings
Low-skill				
Skill cat 1	8.64	2.54	5.64	13,612
Skill cat 2	11.59	2.25	5.32	21,970
Intermediate-skill				
Skill cat 3	13.59	5.21	3.56	25,936
Skill cat 4	16.83	5.21	2.13	32,820
High-skill				
Skill cat 5	25.62	7.64	1.42	54,075
Skill cat 6	22.39	6.33	1.11	43,868

<ロ> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日> <日</p>

# Measure of innovation intensity

- Expenditures on research
  - at the firm not enterprise level
  - includes both intramural and extramural R&D expenditures
  - we use R&D intensity, so we divided by employment

$$\tilde{R}_{ft} = \ln\left(1 + \frac{RDexp_{ft}}{L_{ft}}\right)$$

We also use

RD = 1 if a firm ever reports doing R&D

• 1/3 of the firms have RD = 1

# Workers in R&D firms are paid higher wages

conditional on labour market mean wage



# The effect of innovation on wages

- A correlation between innovation and wages could reflect many things
  - innovative firms hire more males workers, more experienced workers and more full-time workers.

	R&D firms	Non-R&D firms
Firm employment	2,784	2,213
Share male (%)	68	56
Share full-time (%)	90	76
Age of worker	40.4	38.1
Tenure of worker	8.9	5.7
Firms	2,332	5,032
Firms-years	12,871	25,481
Worker-firm-year	263,447	363,275

To control for these we estimate

$$ln(w_{ijkft}) = \beta_1 \tilde{R}_{ft} + X \beta_2 + \eta_t + e_{ijkft},$$

*i*: individual *j*: occupation *k*: labour market *f*: firm *t*: year

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ 三回 ののの

	Dependent variable: $ln(w_{ijkft})$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
ñ	0.000***	0.016***	0.006***	0.001***		
Ŕ <sub>ft</sub>	0.029***	0.016***	0.006***			
	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)		
Age	0.058***	0.034***		0.045***		
	(0.003)	(0.002)		(0.001)		
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.001***	-0.000***	-0.001***	-0.001***		
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)		
Tenure	0.023***	0.015***	0.008***	0.015***		
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.000)		
Tenure <sup>2</sup>	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***		
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)		
Firm Size	-0.032***	-0.010***	-0.008***	-0.031***		
	(0.006)	(0.004)	(0.002)	(0.003)		
Gender	0.156***	0.143***		0.155***		
	(0.006)	(0.004)		(0.003)		
Full-Time	0.244***	0.070***	0.004	0.142***		
	(0.014)	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.002)		
FE	(k,t)	(k,j,t)	i+t	f+t		
R-squared	0.385	0.624	0.887	0.561		
Ν	626,210	626,210	626,210	626,210		

#### *i*: individual *j*: occupation *k*: labour market *f*: firm *t*: year

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# Plan

#### Motivation

#### 2 Innovation and wage

#### Innovation and wage by skill groups

#### 4 Model

5 Confronting the model to the data

#### 6 Conclusion

= 9

- 一司

# The wage premium from working in a high-R&D firm is higher for workers in low-skilled occupations

With Size



Aghion-Bergeaud-Blundell-Griffith

ъ

Employment, by (occupation) skill and (firm) R&D R&D firms employ more skilled workers



#### Share of high skill workers: No R&D firms: 13.7%; Most R&D firms: 53.8%

Occupation	low skill	med skill	high skill	All
õ	0 007***	0 000***	0.000	0 000***
<i>Ř</i> <sub>ft</sub>	0.007***	0.003***	-0.000	0.002***
~	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
R <sub>ft</sub> * low-skill				0.006***
				(0.001)
$\tilde{R}_{ft}$ * med skill				0.002***
				(0.001)
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.000***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Tenure	0.009***	0.006***	0.001	0.007***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.000)
Tenure <sup>2</sup>	-0.000***	-0.000***	Ò.000 Ó	-0.000***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Firm Size	-0.005**	0.002 Ó	0.004	-0.006***
	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Full-Time	-0.011*	-0.089***	-0.109***	-0.004
	(0.006)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.005)
low-skill	( )	( )	( )	-0.157***
				(0.006)
med-skill				-0.073***
				(0.004)
FE	i+t	i+t	i+t	i+t
R-squared	0.774	0.851	0.885	0.889
N	407,336	104,319	114,535	626,206

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### Robustness

- These regression results are robust to a number of alternative specifications:
  - Other measure of R&D Tables
  - 2 Keeping only innovative firms Tables
  - 8 Removing the financial sector
  - Using different measures of income Tables
  - Other measure of skill Tables
  - 6 Restricting to non moving workers Tables
  - Additive Fixed effects Tables
  - 🚳 etc.

000 EIE 4E + 4E

# Plan

#### Motivation

- 2 Innovation and wage
- Innovation and wage by skill groups

## 4 Model

5 Confronting the model to the data

#### Conclusion

< A

# Model intuition

- What explains the stronger effect of innovation on wage for workers in low-skill occupations?
  - we built a model in which there is complementarity between (some) workers in low and high-skill occupations
  - the skills of workers in high-skilled occupations are less firm-specific
  - this provides workers in (complementary) low-skilled occupations bargaining power.

# Model Setup (1)

- 2 types of occupations
  - high skill with quality Q
  - Iow skill with quality q
- Continuum of tasks indexed by  $\lambda \in [0,1]$
- Each task uses one worker of each type:

$$f(\lambda, q, Q) = \lambda q Q + (1 - \lambda) (q + Q)$$

- Partial O'Ring production function (Kremer, 1993)
- $\lambda$ : complementarity of the task's structure
  - ▶ λ = 0 there is pure substitutability between workers in low and high-skilled occupations and no complementarity
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \lambda = 1$  workers in low and high-skilled occupations are always complementary

向下 イヨト イヨト ヨヨ つくつ

# Model Setup (2)

• Firm aggregate tasks according to:

$$F(ec{q},Q)=\int_{0}^{1}f(\lambda,q(\lambda),Q)\phi(\lambda)d\lambda$$
 where  $\int_{0}^{1}\phi(\lambda)d\lambda=1$ 

Innovative firms value more in high complementarity tasks

- (Garicano, 2000; Garicano and Rossi-Hansberg, 2006; Caroli and Van Reenen, 2001; and Bloom et al., 2014)
- And evidence below.
- This is captured by an increase in

$$\mathbb{E}_{\phi}\left(\lambda
ight)=\int_{0}^{1}\lambda\phi(\lambda)d\lambda$$

with innovation.

글 에서 글 에 크레크 네이

# Wage negotiation

• The firm engages in separate wage negotiation with each worker

- ▶ yields equilibrium wages: w<sub>q</sub> and w<sub>Q</sub> for each task
- If negotiations fail the firm hires a substitute
  - quality  $q_L$  at wage  $w_L$ , or  $Q_L$  at  $w_H$
  - we assume  $Q > Q_L > q > q_L > 1$
- We assume  $Q Q_L < q q_L$ 
  - e.g. because of less asymmetry of information
- Wage are then determined following Stole and Zwiebel (1996) with outside option for the low and high skill workers  $\bar{w}^L$  and  $\bar{w}^H$ , respectively.

# Solving the model (1)

• For simplicity, assume that surplus is split equally between the firm and the workers

$$w_q(\lambda) - \bar{w}^L = \phi(\lambda) \left[ f(\lambda, q(\lambda), Q) - f(\lambda, q_L, Q) \right] - \left( w_q(\lambda) - w_L \right)$$

and similarly for the high occupation worker:

$$w_Q - \bar{w}^H = \int_0^1 \left[ f(\lambda, q(\lambda), Q) - f(\lambda, q(\lambda), Q_L) \right] \phi(\lambda) d\lambda - (w_Q - w_H)$$

(日) (國) (王) (王) (王)

# Solving the model (1)

• For simplicity, assume that surplus is split equally between the firm and the workers

$$w_q(\lambda) - \bar{w}^L = \phi(\lambda) \left[ f(\lambda, q(\lambda), Q) - f(\lambda, q_L, Q) \right] - \left( w_q(\lambda) - w_L \right)$$

and similarly for the high occupation worker:

$$w_Q - ar{w}^H = \int_0^1 \left[ f(\lambda, q(\lambda), Q) - f(\lambda, q(\lambda), Q_L) \right] \phi(\lambda) d\lambda - (w_Q - w_H)$$

• Firm needs to train the low-skill worker up to its desired quality  $q(\lambda)$ . Assuming quadratic cost  $C(q(\lambda) - q_L)^2$ , this yields:

$$q^*(\lambda) = q_L + \phi(\lambda) \frac{\lambda(Q_L - 1) + 1}{4C},$$

• Assume no training for high skill worker, so that optimal value of Q hits a corner  $\overline{Q}$ .

# Solving the model (2)

• Backward induction solving:

$$w_q(\lambda) = rac{\phi(\lambda)^2}{8C} \left(\lambda(Q_L - 1) + 1
ight) \left(\lambda(\overline{Q} - 1) + 1
ight)$$

and

$$w_{Q}(\lambda) = (\overline{Q} - Q_{L}) \int_{0}^{1} \lambda \frac{\phi(\lambda)^{2}}{8C} [\lambda(Q_{L} - 1) + 1] d\lambda \\ + (\overline{Q} - Q_{L}) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\phi(\lambda)}{2} [\lambda(q_{L} - 1) + 1] d\lambda$$

- Effect on innovation only through  $\phi(\lambda)$ .
- On average,  $w_q(\lambda)$  increases more with innovation than  $w_Q$  as long as  $\overline{Q} > Q_L > q^* > q_L$  and  $Q Q_L < q q_L$ .

## Outsourcing

- Recall that  $q^*(\lambda) = q_L + \phi(\lambda) \frac{\lambda(Q_L 1) + 1}{4C}$  $\longrightarrow$  Optimal value of  $q^*$  is always larger than  $q_L$
- What if there is limited training resources?

$$T \geq \int_0^1 C \left(q(\lambda) - q_L\right)^2 d\lambda$$

- Then for some λ it is optimal to have q(λ) = q<sub>L</sub>. We interpret it as outsourcing the task.
- The cutoff value of  $\lambda$  below which the firm outsource increases with innovation.

# Empirical assumptions and predictions

- More innovative firms exhibit more complementarity
- Low-skilled workers that remain in a firm benefit more from an increase in *R*&*D* of the firm than high-skilled workers in that firm
- Low-skilled workers stay longer in more innovative firms (as more time and money is invested in them to getting them from  $q_L$  to  $q^*$ ) and have more training
- Innovative firms tend to outsource the less complementary low skill occupations

# Plan

#### Motivation

- 2 Innovation and wage
- Innovation and wage by skill groups

#### 4 Model



#### Conclusion

ъ.

E + 4 E +

## Complementarity of workers

- We use data collected by the US Department of Labor called the Occupational Information Network (O\*Net)
- These data are collected from workers in the US and aggregated to the occupation level
- They provide detailed measures on the characteristics of occupations and the training of workers in those occupations (among other things)
- Aggregate this by skill for different level of R&D intensity
- These are occupation level measures, so any change reflects a change in occupation composition

## Consequences of an error

- The consequences of a worker in a low-skilled occupation making an error are larger in a high-R&D firm than in a low-R&D firm
  - Mean "consequences of an error"

	Tercile of R&D intensity			
Skill level	None	Low	Middle	High
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Low	1.00	1.02	1.12	1.14
Intermediate	1.00	1.00	1.02	1.03
High	1.00	1.02	1.00	0.99

Consequence of an error

《曰》《圖》《曰》《曰》 되는 《

# Training in low-skilled occupations

Back

• The table show the mean share of workers in low-skilled occupations that receive training (on average in the US, O\*NET data)

	R&D intensity				
	None	lowest tercile	middle tercile	highest tercile	
On-site or in-plant					
none	20.3	19.7	18.6	18.5	
up to 6 months	65.6	64.3	59.6	54.4	
6 months - 1 year	7.7	8.4	10.9	12.9	
a year or more	6.4	7.6	10.9	14.3	
On-the-job					
none	10.1	10.0	9.3	9.1	
up to 6 months	74.8	72.5	66.1	59.9	
6 months - 1 year	7.9	9.0	12.5	14.9	
a year or more	7.2	8.5	12.1	16.2	

## Tenure by skill and R&D



ъ

(3)

## How to measure outsourcing?

- Our model predicts that innovative firms with outsource the task that have little complementarity between high and low skill occupation workers.
- Problem: not enough time dimension to observe this directly as in Goldschmidt and Schmieder (2017).
- Instead, we focus on one specific occupation
#### Share of cleaners decrease with R&D



-

### Not with employment



문 문

A B M A B M

# Plan

#### Motivation

- 2 Innovation and wage
- Innovation and wage by skill groups

#### 4 Model

5 Confronting the model to the data

#### 6 Conclusion

-

< A

## Conclusion

- We use new employee-employer matched data that includes information on R&D to show:
  - workers in innovative firms earn higher wages on average than workers in non-innovative firms
  - the premium for working in an innovative firm is higher for workers in low-skilled occupations
- We propose a model that is consistent with this finding
  - some low-skilled occupations are essential for high-R&D firms, these workers are complementary to the high skilled workers, and this allows them to capture a high share of the surplus than equivalent workers in low-R&D firms
- We show empirical support for this model
  - Low skill workers are more essential for high innovative firms.
  - tenure of workers in low-skilled occupations is longer in high-R&D firms than in low-R&D firms

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ ∃|∃ ◇Q⊘

## Additional Slides

# Testing different function of R&D

Back

			De	ependent var	iable: In(w <sub>ijki</sub>	<sub>ft</sub> )		
R&D function	$(1)^{\frac{x}{7}}$	$log(1 + \frac{x}{7})$ (2)	H(x) (3)	$H\left(\frac{x}{l}\right)$ (4)	log(1+x) (5)	x > 0 (6)	x (7)	$log(\frac{x}{l})$ (8)
<i>Ř</i> <sub>ft</sub>	0.000** (0.000)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)	0.013*** (0.003)	0.001*	0.006	0.019 (0.014)	0.002
* low-skill	0.001*	0.006*** (0.001)	0.003*** (0.001)	0.024*** (0.003)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.026*** (0.008)	0.072** (0.031)	0.005*** (0.002)
* med skill	0.000*	0.002*** (0.001)	0.001** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.002)	0.001** (0.000)	0.011** (0.006)	0.020** (0.009)	0.002 (0.001)
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Tenure	0.008***	0.007***	0.007***	0.007***	0.007***	0.007***	0.008***	0.005***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)
Tenure <sup>2</sup>	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Firm Size	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.007***	-0.006***	-0.007***	-0.007***	-0.006***	-0.002
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.004)
Full-Time	-0.003	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.003	-0.003	-0.080***
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.023)
low-skill	-0.130***	-0.136***	-0.134***	-0.132***	-0.134***	-0.134***	-0.130***	-0.067***
	(0.039)	(0.043)	(0.042)	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.039)	(0.007)
med-skill	-0.051	-0.052	-0.052	-0.049	-0.052	-0.052	-0.051	-0.038***
	(0.039)	(0.043)	(0.042)	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.039)	(0.005)
high-skill	0.016	0.021	0.020	0.024	0.019	0.018	0.017	0.000
	(0.040)	(0.044)	(0.043)	(0.040)	(0.043)	(0.043)	(0.040)	(.)
R <sup>2</sup> Observations	0.889	0.889 626,210	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889 626,210	0.917 162,696

# Testing different function of R&D

Back

	D	ependent varial	ole: In(w <sub>ijk</sub>	<sub>ft</sub> )
Skill Category	Low	Intermediate	High	All
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Quantile 1	0.004	-0.001	0.001	0.004
Quantile 2	0.017**	0.003	-0.007	0.010
Quantile 3	0.006	0.003	-0.001	0.002
Quantile 4	0.031***	-0.018	-0.008	0.012*
Quantile 5	0.036**	0.010	-0.000	0.023***
Quantile 6	0.036***	0.012	0.011	0.027***
Quantile 7	0.037***	0.009	-0.008	0.025***
Quantile 8	0.039***	0.014	0.000	0.031***
Quantile 9	0.044***	0.021*	-0.007	0.035***
Quantile 10	0.048***	0.021	-0.001	0.038***
Quantile 11	0.065***	0.029*	-0.006	0.053***
Quantile 12	0.070***	0.046***	-0.003	0.056***
Quantile 13	0.073***	0.029**	-0.013	0.051***
Quantile 14	0.073***	0.035***	0.012	0.064***
Quantile 15	0.061***	0.035***	0.012	0.064***
Quantile 16	0.096***	0.048***	-0.011	0.081***
Quantile 17	0.085***	0.022*	-0.003	0.071***
Quantile 18	0.090***	0.043***	0.007	0.082***
Quantile 19	0.114***	0.028**	-0.013	0.077***
Quantile 20	0.147***	0.020	-0.001	0.099***
R <sup>2</sup>	0.774	0.851	0.885	0.887
Observations	407,341	104,318	114,535	626,210

Aghion-Bergeaud-Blundell-Griffith

### Other measures of R&D



			Dependent vari	able: In(w <sub>ijkft</sub> )	
	Baseline (1)	Only Intram (2)	Only Extram (3)	Log of R&D workers (4)	Share scientists
<i>Ř</i> <sub>ft</sub>	0.002***	0.002***	-0.000	0.009***	0.012
*	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.009)
* low-skill	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.151*** (0.020)
* med skill	0.002***	0.002***	0.004***	0.001	0.055***
ined skin	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.019)
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
0	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Tenure	0.007***	0.007***	0.007***	0.007***	0.011***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Tenure <sup>2</sup>	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Firm Size	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***	0.007***
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)
Full-Time	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.005
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.003)
low-skill	-0.157***	-0.157***	-0.162***	-0.155***	-0.196***
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.004)
med-skill	-0.073***	-0.073***	-0.077***	-0.071***	-0.098***
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.003)
R-squared	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.854
N	626,206	626,206	626,206	626,206	1,815,709

## Robustness to using different measures of income

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<i>Ř</i> <sub>ft</sub>	0.002***	0.002***	0.006***	0.005***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
* low-skill	0.006***	0.005***	0.011***	0.011***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
* med skill	0.002***	0.002**	0.001	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Tenure	0.007***	0.006***	0.068***	0.066***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Tenure <sup>2</sup>	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.002***	-0.002***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Firm Size	-0.006***	-0.009***	-0.024***	-0.022***
	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Full-Time	-0.004	0.009	0.493***	0.489***
	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.014)	(0.014)
low-skill	-0.157***	-0.151***	-0.194***	-0.189***
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.010)	(0.010)
med-skill	-0.073***	-0.070***	-0.060***	-0.059***
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.008)	(0.008)
	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,
Fixed Effects	i+t	i+t	i+t	i+t
R-squared	0.889	0.908	0.796	0.785
N	626,206	625,982	624,208	623,859

Back

## Alternative definition of skill levels



		Depende	ent variable:	In(w <sub>ijkft</sub> )	
Skill Category	1 (low) (1)	2 (2)	3 (3)	4 (high) (4)	All (5)
<i>Ř</i> <sub>ft</sub>	0.005***	0.007***	0.002**	-0.000	0.003***
* low-skill	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
* med-low skill					(0.001) 0.005*** (0.001)
* med-high skill					0.002** (0.001)
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.001**
Tenure	0.007*** (0.001)	0.009*** (0.001)	0.004*** (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)	0.007***
Tenure <sup>2</sup>	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000*** (0.000)	-0.000	-0.000**
Firm Size	0.003 (0.003)	-0.007*** (0.003)	0.000 (0.002)	0.004 (0.003)	-0.006** (0.002)
Full-Time	-0.038*** (0.006)	-0.014** (0.007)	-0.115*** (0.014)	-0.110*** (0.014)	-0.006 (0.005)
low-skill					-0.170** (0.006)
med-low-skill					-0.143** (0.006)
med-high-skill					-0.049** (0.004)
R-squared N	0.706	0.781 293,545	0.872 113,803	0.901 115,729	0.889

#### Appendix: model

Back

- In case where n ≥ 1 low-occupation workers and m ≥ 1 high-occupation workers. We determine equilibrium wages using ex post negotiation Stole and Zwiebel (1996).
- If the  $n^{th}$  low-occupation worker refuses the wage offer  $w_n^L$ , then the remaining n-1 low-occupation workers renegotiate a wage  $w_{n-1}^L$ .
- By induction, this provides a generic expression for the two equilibrium wages  $w_{n,m}^L(Q,q,\lambda)$  and  $w_{n,m}^L(Q,q,\lambda)$  (up to a constant in q, Q and  $\lambda$ ):

$$w_{n,m}^{L}(Q,q,\lambda) = \frac{(q-q_L)\lambda\theta}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{n} iQ^m q^{i-1} - \frac{\theta(1-\lambda)}{2}(q-q_L)$$
$$w_{n,m}^{H}(Q,q,\lambda) = \frac{(Q-Q_L)\lambda\theta}{m(m+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{m} iq^n Q^{i-1} - \frac{\theta(1-\lambda)}{2}(Q-Q_L),$$

(김희) 김 국가 김 국가 모님

#### Appendix: model

Assume 
$$n = 1$$
 and  $m = 2$   
$$\frac{\partial w_{1,2}^L(Q, q, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\theta(q - q_L)(Q^2 - 1)}{2}$$
and
$$\frac{\partial w_{1,2}^H(Q, q, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\theta(Q - Q_L)\left(\frac{q(1+2Q)}{3} - 1\right)}{2},$$

• And since Q > q implies that: q(1+2Q) < Q(1+2Q) < Q(Q+2Q)(recall Q > 1), we have  $\frac{q(1+2Q)}{3} - 1 < Q^2 - 1$ , which, combined with the assumption that  $(Q - Q_L) < (q - q_L)$ , immediately implies that:

$$\frac{\partial w_{1,2}^{L}(Q, q, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} > \frac{\partial w_{1,2}^{H}(Q, q, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda}$$

### The story is different with employment



### The story is different with employment



3 🕨 🖌 3

#### Non movers

Back



三日 のへの

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

#### Additive Fixed Effects

Back

$$ln(w_{i,t}) = \alpha_i + X_{i,t}\beta + \eta_t + \gamma \tilde{R}_{J(i,t),t} + \delta ln(L_{J(i,t),t}) + \psi_{J(i,t)} + \varepsilon_{i,t},$$

		Depende	ent variable:	In(w <sub>ijkft</sub> )
_		(1)	(2)	(3)
	<i></i>	0.006***	0.001***	0.001***
Ì	· · · / ·	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.000)
,	Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.000***
	0	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
	Tenure	0.008***	0.015***	0.008***
		(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
	Tenure <sup>2</sup>	-0.000***	-0.000***	-0.000***
		(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
ļ	Firm Size	-0.008***	-0.031***	-0.001
		(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.002)
ļ	Full-Time	0.004	0.142***	-0.023***
		(0.005)	(0.002)	(0.002)
,	Age		0.045***	
			(0.001)	
(	Gender		0.155***	
			(0.003)	
	Danuand	0.887	0.561	0.895
	R-squared N	626,206	626,206	581,323
		020,200	020,200	501,525