# **MONETARY POLICY, FISCAL POLICY, AND INFLATION EXPECTATIONS**

Yuriy Gorodnichenko UC Berkeley



## **POLICY RESPONSE TO THE COVID19 CRISIS**

### **Monetary policy**

- Interest rates at record-low levels
- Massive Quantitative Easing (+ \$4 trillion on the Fed's balance sheet)
- Promise to keep interest rates low until 2023 (forward guidance)
- Assortment of lending facilities  ${}^{\bullet}$



## **POLICY RESPONSE TO THE COVID19 CRISIS**

### **Monetary policy**

- Interest rates at record-low levels
- Massive Quantitative Easing (+ \$4 trillion on the Fed's balance sheet)
- Promise to keep interest rates low until 2023 (forward guidance)
- Assortment of lending facilities  ${}^{\bullet}$

### **Fiscal policy**

- CARES act (March 2020): \$2.0 trillion
- HEROES act (December 2020): \$1.0 trillion  ${}^{\bullet}$
- American Rescue Act (March 2021): \$2.2 trillion
- American Jobs Plan ("Infrastructure") (TBD): \$1.0 trillion



## **POLICY RESPONSE TO THE COVID19 CRISIS**

### **Monetary policy**

- Interest rates at record-low levels
- Massive Quantitative Easing (+ \$4 trillion on the Fed's balance sheet)  $\bullet$
- Promise to keep interest rates low until 2023 (forward guidance)  $\bullet$
- Assortment of lending facilities  ${ \bullet }$

### **Fiscal policy**

- CARES act (March 2020): \$2.0 trillion
- HEROES act (December 2020): \$1.0 trillion
- American Rescue Act (March 2021): \$2.2 trillion
- American Jobs Plan ("Infrastructure") (TBD): \$1.0 trillion

Too much? Larry Summers: "[new fiscal stimulus will] set off inflationary pressures of a kind we have not seen in a generation."



## **INFLATION EXPECTATIONS: STATE OF KNOWLEDGE**

• Alan Greenspan (1994): "I am not saying what [inflation expectations] is a function of. We know it's a very difficult issue, but that is the key variable. It's important, but just because we can't make a judgment as to what these driving forces are in an econometric sense doesn't mean that it's not real."

### **INFLATION EXPECTATIONS: STATE OF KNOWLEDGE**

- Alan Greenspan (1994): "I am not saying what [inflation expectations] is a function of. We know it's a very difficult issue, but that is the key variable. It's important, but just because we can't make a judgment as to what these driving forces are in an econometric sense doesn't mean that it's not real."
- Ben Bernanke (2007): "How should we measure inflation expectations, and how should we use that information for forecasting and controlling inflation? I certainly do not have complete answers to those questions, but I believe that they are of great practical importance. ... Information on the price expectations of businesses--who are, after all, the price setters in the first instance--... is particularly scarce."

## **INFLATION EXPECTATIONS: STATE OF KNOWLEDGE**

- Alan Greenspan (1994): "I am not saying what [inflation expectations] is a function of. We know it's a very difficult issue, but that is the key variable. It's important, but just because we can't make a judgment as to what these driving forces are in an econometric sense doesn't mean that it's not real."
- Ben Bernanke (2007): "How should we measure inflation expectations, and how should we use that information for forecasting and controlling inflation? I certainly do not have complete answers to those questions, but I believe that they are of great practical importance. ... Information on the price expectations of businesses--who are, after all, the price setters in the first instance--... is particularly scarce."
- Janet Yellen (2016): "Perhaps most importantly, we need to know more about the manner in which inflation expectations are formed and how monetary policy influences them."

### **PROFESSIONAL FORECASTERS: ANCHORED EXPECTATIONS**



### **PROFESSIONAL FORECASTERS: ANCHORED EXPECTATIONS**



• Interpretation of shocks is different

- Interpretation of shocks is different
- High sensitivity to salient prices

- Interpretation of shocks is different
- High sensitivity to salient prices
- Low attention to monetary and fiscal policy

- Interpretation of shocks is different
- High sensitivity to salient prices
- Low attention to monetary and fiscal policy
- Behavioral response to policy changes may be different from predictions of full-information rational expectations (FIRE) models



### HOUSEHOLDS AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY



Professionals: Demand shock & a Phillips curve





Households: Supply shock & stagflationary view

### **PROFESSIONAL FORECASTERS AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY**



### HOUSEHOLDS AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY



### **PROFESSIONAL FORECASTERS AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY**



### HOUSEHOLDS AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY





## INFLATION EXPECTATIONS AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY



- Interpretation of shocks is different
  - The public believes in stagflation Ο
- High sensitivity to salient prices
- Low attention to monetary and fiscal policy
- Behavioral response to policy changes may be different from predictions of full-information rational expectations (FIRE) models





Households can confuse relative prices with inflation

### PRE COVID



MSC tracks gas prices but SPF does not

### **COVID** CRISIS



MSC tracks gas prices but SPF does not

2 2.5 Price of gasoline, \$/gallon

1.5

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

### **COVID** CRISIS



MSC tracks gas prices but SPF does not

EPOP is at 58.5% (roughly the level at the trough of the Great Recession)

2 2.5 Price of gasoline, \$/gallon

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

- Interpretation of shocks is different
  - The public believes in stagflation Ο
- High sensitivity to salient prices
  - Price of gasoline is a strong predictor of HH inflation expectations Ο
- Low attention to monetary and fiscal policy
- Behavioral response to policy changes may be different from predictions of full-information rational expectations (FIRE) models



## **CEO'S PERCEPTIONS ABOUT THE INFLATION TARGET**



### **PEOPLE'S PERCEPTIONS ABOUT THE INFLATION TARGET**



### **PEOPLE'S PERCEPTIONS ABOUT THE INFLATION TARGET**



Little knowledge about the inflation target in the U.S. (sign of success?). Policy announcements (forward guidance, quantitative easing, average inflation targeting, etc.) are unlikely to move households' inflation expectations.

- Interpretation of shocks is different
  - The public believes in stagflation Ο
- High sensitivity to salient prices
  - Price of gasoline is a strong predictor of HH inflation expectations Ο
- Low attention to monetary and fiscal policy
  - Most households and managers don't know the inflation targets Ο
- Behavioral response to policy changes may be different from predictions of full-information rational expectations (FIRE) models

Thought experiment: *ConsumerSpending*<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + *controls* + *error* 



Thought experiment: ConsumerSpending<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + controls + error Main issue: endogeneity of expectations



Thought experiment: ConsumerSpending<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + controls + error Main issue: endogeneity of expectations Solution: run a randomized control trial



Thought experiment: *ConsumerSpending*<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e + controls + error$ Main issue: endogeneity of expectations Solution: run a randomized control trial

• Measure priors (inflation expectations)



Thought experiment: *ConsumerSpending*<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e + controls + error$ Main issue: endogeneity of expectations Solution: run a randomized control trial

- Measure priors (inflation expectations)
- Apply treatments (inform participants about policy)


Thought experiment: *ConsumerSpending*<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e + controls + error$ Main issue: endogeneity of expectations Solution: run a randomized control trial

- Measure priors (inflation expectations)
- Apply treatments (inform participants about policy)
- Measure posteriors (inflation expectations)



Thought experiment: ConsumerSpending<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + controls + error Main issue: endogeneity of expectations Solution: run a randomized control trial

- Measure priors (inflation expectations)
- Apply treatments (inform participants about policy)
- Measure posteriors (inflation expectations)
- Track participants' choices (consumption)



Thought experiment: ConsumerSpending<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + controls + error Main issue: endogeneity of expectations Solution: run a randomized control trial

- Measure priors (inflation expectations)
- Apply treatments (inform participants about policy)
- Measure posteriors (inflation expectations)
- Track participants' choices (consumption)
- Compare control group to treatment group  $\Rightarrow$  causal effect





Examples of treatments:

- Inform about the inflation target
- Inform about inflation forecast
- Inform about future policy rates (forward guidance)
- Inform about fiscal policy
- . . .



Examples of treatments:

. . .

- Inform about the inflation target
- Inform about inflation forecast
- Inform about future policy rates (forward guidance)
- Inform about fiscal policy

None of these should work in full-information rational expectations (FIRE) because treatments provide publicly available information.



Treatment: Forward guidance *ConsumerSpending*<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + *controls* + *error* 



Treatment: Forward guidance

ConsumerSpending<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + controls + error



Raising inflation expectations stimulates spending on nondurables



Treatment: Forward guidance

*ConsumerSpending*<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + *controls* + *error* 

	Log(Spending on	Frequency of
	nondurable goods)	of durab
	(1)	(2
Expected inflation	0.248***	-1.2
	(0.090)	(0.2

Raising inflation expectations stimulates spending on nondurables ... and lowers spending on durables



# of purchases ble goods 241\*\*\* 285

Treatment: Forward guidance

*ConsumerSpending*<sub>h</sub> =  $b \times \pi_h^e$  + *controls* + *error* 

	Log(Spending on	Frequency of
	nondurable goods)	of durab
	(1)	(2
Expected inflation	0.248***	-1.2
	(0.090)	(0.2

Raising inflation expectations stimulates spending on nondurables ... and lowers spending on durables ... and can backfire



### of purchases ble goods 2) 241\*\*\* (285)

# WILL FISCAL POLICY SPUR INFLATION EXPECTATIONS?



FYI: Cumulative inflation in 1946-1948 was 33%.

# **FISCAL POLICY TREATMENTS (DEC 2018)**

Code Description

- T0 Control group
- **T1** The U.S. Treasury department reports that for the 2018 fiscal year the U.S. Federal government ran a deficit of \$779 billion or 3.9% relative to the level of income (Gross Domestic Product) generated by the economy in 2018.
- T2 The U.S. Treasury department reports that the current level of the public debt of the U.S. Federal government is 21.2 trillion dollars or 103.4% of the current income (Gross Domestic Product) generated by the economy in 2018.

# **FISCAL POLICY TREATMENTS (DEC 2018)**

Code Description

- T0 Control group
- **T1** The U.S. Treasury department reports that for the 2018 fiscal year the U.S. Federal government ran a deficit of \$779 billion or 3.9% relative to the level of income (Gross Domestic Product) generated by the economy in 2018.
- T2 The U.S. Treasury department reports that the current level of the public debt of the U.S. Federal government is 21.2 trillion dollars or 103.4% of the current income (Gross Domestic Product) generated by the economy in 2018.

T4 The U.S. Congressional Budget Office reports that the budget balance of the U.S. Federal government is projected to deteriorate so that the national debt will increase by more than 10 trillion dollars by 2028.

**T6** The U.S. Congressional Budget Office projects that the total debt of the U.S. Federal Government in 10 years will be more than 30 trillion dollars, or 107% of the projected level of income (Gross Domestic Product).

# FISCAL POLICY TREATMENTS (DEC 2018)

Code Description

T0 Control group

- **T1** The U.S. Treasury department reports that for the 2018 fiscal year the U.S. Federal government ran a deficit of \$779 billion or 3.9% relative to the level of income (Gross Domestic Product) generated by the economy in 2018.
- T2 The U.S. Treasury department reports that the current level of the public debt of the U.S. Federal government is 21.2 trillion dollars or 103.4% of the current income (Gross Domestic Product) generated by the economy in 2018.
- **T3** The U.S. Congressional Budget Office reports that the interest rate on U.S. government debt is currently 2.3%.
- **T4** The U.S. Congressional Budget Office reports that the budget balance of the U.S. Federal government is projected to deteriorate so that the national debt will increase by more than 10 trillion dollars by 2028.
- The U.S. Congressional Budget Office projects that prices in the U.S. economy will increase T5 by a little over 2.0% per year on average over the next 10 years so that the level of prices in 2028 will increase by a little over 20% relative to the current level.
- The U.S. Congressional Budget Office projects that the total debt of the U.S. Federal **T6** Government in 10 years will be more than 30 trillion dollars, or 107% of the projected level of income (Gross Domestic Product).

	Inflation exp	
	12-month	1
	ahead	
	(1)	
Control group	3.848***	
	(0.058)	
Treatment effects relative to control		
T1 (inform about current deficit)	0.035	
	(0.083)	
T2 (inform about current debt)	0.013	
	(0.083)	
T4 (inform about debt projection, change)	0.249***	
	(0.084)	
T6 (inform about debt projection, level)	0.359***	
	(0.087)	

Modest effects from future fiscal variables



• Firms' inflation expectations are between households' and professional forecasters'.



- Firms' inflation expectations are between households' and professional  $\bullet$ forecasters'.
- Firms are relatively inattentive to aggregate inflation.



- Firms' inflation expectations are between households' and professional  $\bullet$ forecasters'.
- Firms are relatively inattentive to aggregate inflation.
- Caveat: at the zero-lower bound, higher inflation expectations lead to higher prices (evidence from Italy)



- Firms' inflation expectations are between households' and professional forecasters'.
- Firms are relatively inattentive to aggregate inflation.
- Caveat: at the zero-lower bound, higher inflation expectations lead to higher prices (evidence from Italy)

But this depends on cost pressures (i.e., how tight labor markets are) which are likely weak given the slack



# **BACK TO THE 1970S?**

Unlikely:

- A large output gap ("slack") in the economy
- Expectations of "rational" players (financial markets, professional forecasters) are anchored
- Households can lower their inflation expectations as the economy improves.
- Households do not link current deficits to future inflation.
- Policy communication is treading carefully (emphasize full employment) rather than reflation)

# **BACK TO THE 1970S?**

Unlikely:

- A large output gap ("slack") in the economy
- Expectations of "rational" players (financial markets, professional forecasters) are anchored
- Households can lower their inflation expectations as the economy improves.
- Households do not link current deficits to future inflation.
- Policy communication is treading carefully (emphasize full employment) rather than reflation)

**Risks**:

- Rising commodity prices
- Looming debt
- Firms start to raise prices aggressively in response to cost pressures