

# Lovisa Reiche





# **Beyond Groceries: Forecast Confidence and the Gender Gap in Inflation Expectations**



EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK

EUROSYSTEM

## **Beyond Groceries: Financial Confidence and the** Gender Gap in Inflation Expectations

## Lovisa Reiche

lovisa.reiche@economics.ox.ac.uk lovisareiche.com @LovisaReiche

## Why do women have higher inflation expectations?

## **Beyond Groceries:**

#### Exposure

**Groceries:** 

Women in traditional household roles are more exposed to food prices (Jonung, 1981; D'Acunto et al., 2021)

## Confidence

Women have lower confidence in their financial skills (Bucher-Koenen et al., 2024) and thus report more rounded, less precise forecasts (Binder, 2017; Reiche and Meyler, 2022)

## **Confidence x Exposure**

Only those with low financial confidence rely on grocery signals.

## Why does it matter?

Micro: Women's high inflation expectations drive up to 30% of their lower willingness to spend on major items and 60% on luxuries

Macro: Approx 50% of the population but  $\geq$  70% of consumer spending in advanced economies (Silverstein and Sayre, 2009)

## **Price Signals and Forecaster Confidence in a Bayesian Framework**

A representative agent is asked to forecast inflation  $\theta$ .

She has a prior:

$$\log \theta \sim$$

 $\log \theta \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_0, \frac{1}{\tau_0}\right)$  Confidence  $\frac{d\mathbb{E}(\theta|x)}{d\tau_0} < 0 \iff$ 

$$\Rightarrow \ \mu_0 - \log x < \frac{1}{2\tau_x}$$

Receives an unbiased signal:  $\log x = \log \theta + \epsilon$ ,

where 
$$\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N} \left( 0 \right)$$

Her Bayesian posterior:



## **Grocery Shopping and Financial Confidence in the Data**

Main Dataset **BOP-HH** 2020 - 2022

#### External Validity

SCE 2013 - 2023

MSC 1978 - 2022

#### **Exposure: Grocery Shopping**

In your household, who is primarily responsible for

- everyday purchases (groceries)?
- decisions on savings and financial assets?

## **Confidence: Rounding**

Indicates low confidence in precise forecasting (Krifka, 2007).

Predict using rounding in other forecasts: Confidence<sub>i</sub> = 1 – probround<sub>i</sub> = 1 –  $\frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\hat{\alpha}_0 + D_i\hat{\beta} + X_{ki}\hat{\gamma})}}$ 

**Result I:** Confidence is the main driver of the gender gap in inflation expectations. Had women and men the same confidence, the gap would be negative.

- ✓ Simple interaction of *Confidence* and *Exposure* with *Female*
- Decomposition of the Gender Gap (Oaxaca-Blinder, 1973, MacKinnon 2012)  $\checkmark$

## **Result II:** Grocery shopping increases inflation expectations for a small share of consumers with low forecast confidence (~ 11%), dominated by women.

✓ Simple interaction of *Confidence with Exposure* 

## Verifying the Mechanism

- Posterior mean increases as posterior uncertainty increases 1.
- Low confidence increases interquartile range of probabilistic forecast  $\checkmark$
- 2. Posterior variance affects mean due to the right skew
- $\checkmark$ Gender gap is driven by the right skew in expectations

## **Further support for the Confidence Hypothesis**

- There are significant gender gaps between singles and non-singles 1.
- The gender gap in point forecasts reduces in response to high or volatile food prices. 2.
- 3. The gender gap is smaller when asked about food prices than when asked about the full basket. It increases for inflation of educational services.

## **Confidence gaps matter**

- The gender gap in inflation expectations causes a large negative gap in expected real rates.  $\checkmark$
- The gender gap in spending intentions can be attributed largely to the gender gap in inflation expectations.  $\checkmark$

## **Policy Implication: Financial literacy (of women) matters for central banks**

The confidence gap is present only amongst women with low financial literacy  $\checkmark$ 



