Limits to arbitrage during the crisis: funding liquidity constraints and covered interest parity by Tommaso Mancini-Griffoli & Angelo Ranaldo

Discussion by Dagfinn Rime

Norges Bank

November 19, 2012



⊗NB≫ NORGES BANK

Overview on CIP arbitrage

- Early work: Major data-limitations
- Taylor (1987): CIP holds
 - Recent work confirms: role for illiquidity
 - Suggest compensation for following markets as explanation for tiny devs (Grossman and Stiglitz, 1980)
- Taylor (1989): Deviations during turbulence
 - Explanation: Credit limits and liquidity constraints
- Several papers on CIP during the crisis, e.g. Baba and Packer (2009)

This paper

- CIP deviations during the crisis
 - CIP-devs as a indicator of turbulence
 - Nice, as it avoids the discussion of "bubble"
- Explain deviations
 - Distinguish between Secured and Unsecured arb
 - HUGE advantage! Helps narrow down explanations
 - Explanations relevant to the crisis. Broader than just CIP
 - Unsecured = Counterparty risk
 - ★ Secured/collateralized = No counterparty risk

A positive, opposed to normative, analysis of policy

1. Data and documenting CIP devs

Nice data

- Especially the Repo-data
- Intraday snapshots:
 - **BUT** How sure are you about synchronicity?
 - The CIP-deviations in Akram et al. (2008) are so small so it makes a difference when rates are sampled
- Aren't SWAP-markets more liquid than forwards?
 - Worry that TC are too high

1. CIP deviations

(I)

- CIP devs: negative profits before the crisis, and up to 4% profit during fall 2008
- Worry: 1-Week deviations are HUGE before the crisis (close to -0.5%)
 - Higher TC makes arb harder. Are TCs too large?
 - But, 1M look more reasonable!
 - Are short-deviations due to "liquidity" demands? Deviations in ON might be massive because it's not about arb

1. CIP deviations

(II)

- Similarity of secured and unsecured deviations *before* the crisis. As expected?
- Is secured arb common? CIP-gains very small (earlier papers): Why use valuable collateral for arb?
- Extended sample: Break in june 2009?

2. Funding Constraints or Risk Compensation?

- Extremely difficult to actually proxy, or distinguish, concepts.
- Authors do a very good job!
- Comments are about how clean these concepts are

2. Find: FUNDING CONSTRAINTS

- Gains from secured and unsecured arb are similar:
- Secured "don't" have CP-risk ⇒ Liquidity constraints drive both
- ? What is driver of Liquidity constraints? Some changing RISK perceptions?
- ? Can it be compensation for risk of huge market drops?

The risk of CP default can be correlated with the risk that collateral becomes worthless?

But guess collateral may actually *increase* when other markets drop?

2. RISK COMPENSATION

- Is it "New" risk since no compensation before crisis? Linearity/non-linearity
- **Contract risk:** default on the unsecured forward. Common to both. Difficult to measure, but significance of its proxy (FX vol) is not clear
- Can't ROLL-OVER RISK and *future* FUNDING CONSTRAINTS be related?
 Are arb-positions really rolled over?

2. Explanations

(IV)

Bottom Line: Proxies for FUNDING CONSTRAINTS consistently give significant and expected results, while RISK factor's don't.

- What is the relative contributions WHEN contract risk apparently matters? Econ vs. stat signif.
- Estimation-sample? Crisis-period?
 If not: Expect some parameter-instability

Minor

- LIBOR-rates: What is meant literally wrt to arb-opportunities?
 LIBOR a survey rate, not observed continuously.
 Issue of synchronous prices.
- **Roll-over risk:** Relevant for risk-taking, but for arbitrage?
- Figure 2: How is average relative spread calculated?

References I

- Akram Q.F., Rime D., and Sarno L. (2008). Arbitrage in the foreign exchange market: Turning on the microscope. *Journal of International Economics*, 76, 237–253.
- Baba N. and Packer F. (2009). Interpreting deviations from covered interest parity during the financial market turmoil of 2007-08. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 33(11), 1953–1962.
- Grossman S.J. and Stiglitz J.E. (1980). On the impossibility of informationally efficient markets. *American Economic Review*, 70(3), 393–408.

Taylor M.P. (1987). Covered interest parity: A high-frequency, high-quality data study. *Economica*, 54(216), 429–438.

Taylor M.P. (1989). Covered interest arbitrage and market turbulence. *Economic Journal*, 99(396), 376–391.